

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>				I. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE 1	OF PAGE 2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. <b>0003</b>	3. EFFECTIVE DATE <b>98 Feb 19</b>	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. <b>SEE SCHEDULE</b>	5. PROJECT NO.			
6. ISSUED BY CODE <b>SPACE AND NAVAL WARFARE SYSTEMS CENTER P.O. BOX 190022 NORTH CHARLESTON, SC 29419-9022 POC: GLENDA HOWELL CODE: 1116GH TELEPHONE: (803) 974-5948</b>		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE				
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)			(X)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.  <b>N65236-98-R-0400</b>		
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) <b>97 Dec 19</b>		
			<input type="checkbox"/>	10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.		
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)		
CODE		FACILITY CODE				

**11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS**

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☒ is extended, ☐ is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS,  
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

**E. IMPORTANT:** Contractor ☐ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return ORIGINAL copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)  
Subject solicitation is hereby amended as shown on page 2.

The closing date is extended to 11 March 1998.

Answers to Industry Questions are provided as Attachment 01. Attachment 01 is for information only.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	

1. SECTION C, Page 8, chart for Straight Time Labor, **CHANGE** the total hours for Task Manager Installation and Logistics Specialist from 88,000 to 110,000 hours.
2. SECTION C, Page 26, paragraph 3.5, "Place of Performance", **DELETE** last sentence and **REPLACE** with the following:  
  
It is anticipated that approximately 35% of the required work will be performed at the contractor's facility or SPAWARSYSCEN, Charleston, and 65% at the contractor's facility or SPAWARSYSCEN, Norfolk.
3. SECTION H, Clause H411 (See Amendment 0001), **CHANGE** the File Clerk II to read General Clerk II.
4. SECTION I, Clause I142 (See Amendment 0001), **CHANGE** the File Clerk II to read General Clerk II.
5. ATTACHMENT 05: **CHANGE** "(Telephone Number)(Include" to read (Telephone Number )(Include Area Code).
6. On the DD 1423 incorporate the following CHANGES:

<b><u>DATA ITEM NO.</u></b>	<b><u>Block 4 DELETE:</u></b>	<b><u>Block 4 REPLACE WITH:</u></b>
<b>B004</b>	UDI-S-23272C dtd 7 Jan 76	DI-MGMT-81468 dtd 19 Oct 95
<b>B005</b>	UDI-A-22051B dtd 1 Jul 76	DI-MISC-80167A dtd 18 Aug 87
<b>D003</b>	UI-L-22316A dtd 28 May 74	DI-ILSS-80395 dtd 03 Aug 87
<b>D004</b>	UI-L-22316A dtd 28 May 74	DI-ILSS-80395 dtd 03 Aug 87
<b>E002</b>	DI-T-3709A dtd 18 May 77	DI-T-20227A dtd 27 May 77
<b>G002</b>	UDI-R-22582B dtd 1 Jul 76	DI-MISC-81506 07 Jan 96
<b>G005</b>	DI-E-7031 dtd 31 May 97	DI-DRPR-81000A dtd 21 May 97
<b>H005</b>	DI-M-55575A	DI-IPSC-81441 dtd 05 Dec 94

**ATTACHMENT 01  
N65236-98-R-0400  
INDUSTRY QUESTIONS/ANSWERS**

**1. QUESTION:**

Section L-630, Para. D. Other Factors, sub-paragraph (1) Executive Summary: Please indicate what would be an acceptable format or level of detail required to “delineate the availability of competent and experienced...personnel”. The word “delineate” suggests something more than a statement confirming staff availability.

**ANSWER:**

**More is expected than staff availability. The contractor is expected to know the level of detail the experienced personnel should have to show competence.**

**2. QUESTION:**

Section L-630, Para D. Other Factors, sub-paragraph (2) Section 1:  
(Knowledge of Navy Systems) w. System Acquisitions:

Does the phrase “new system acquisition development process” refer to (1) new system or (2) new process? If “process”, please cite appropriate governing directive identifying the “new” process.

**ANSWER:**

**It refers to a new system and the process to acquire it.**

**3. QUESTION:**

Section L-630, Para E. Level of Confidence.....:

Please confirm that offerors are required to address the five most current, related contracts of the prime plus an additional five for each major subcontractor (the “Contractor Performance Data Sheet” and the “Experience Matrix” refer).

**ANSWER:**

**Yes.**

**4. QUESTION:**

B107 ESTIMATED LEVEL OF EFFORT: Please correct crosstab error on Straight Time Hours for Task Managers – Installation and Logistics Specialist labor from 88,000 hours to 110,000 hours.

**ANSWER:**

**See Item #1 on page 2 of Amendment 0003.**

**ATTACHMENT 01  
N65236-98-R-0400**

5. QUESTION:

Please provide the contract's estimated award date as it impacts an offeror's pricing.

**ANSWER:**

**Estimated award date: July 1998**

6. QUESTION:

Item 2 of Amendment 0001 correlates the RFP category "File Clerk" to DOL category "File Clerk II". However, File Clerk II is not listed on either of the Wage Determinations. Please clarify.

**ANSWER:**

**See Item #3 & #4 on page 2 of Amendment 0003.**

7. The Contract Data Requirements List contains outdated and canceled CDRLs. None of the listed CDRLs appear in the AMSDL 5010.12-L. No replacements or alternatives have been provided. Please provide alternatives, delete, or otherwise explain the purpose of including these CDRLs:

B004 Funding Status

B005 Contract Documentation

D003 Integrated Logistics Support Plan

D004 Integrated Logistics Support Plan

E002 Test Specifications and Procedures

G002 BESEP

G005 Installation Design Package

H005 Software Technical Documentation

**ANSWER:**

**See Item #6 on page 2 of Amendment 0003.**

8. QUESTION:

Attachment 01 to Mod 0001 of the RFP, question 6(b) is answered by providing an estimate of work to be performed in Charleston and in Norfolk. The answer is: Charleston – 35% and Norfolk – 65%. This is a change from the percentage anticipated on page 26 of the RFP, paragraph 3.5, Place of Performance, where work is anticipated to be 60% in the Charleston area and 40% in the Norfolk area. Mod 0001 did not change paragraph 3.5 of the RFP. Which estimated percent split is correct:

**ANSWER:**

**See Item #2 on page 2 of Amendment 0003.**

**ATTACHMENT 01  
N65236-98-R-0400**

9. QUESTION:

Attachment (4) is recommended and encouraged to be used in response to Volume III requirements to describe current and previous contracts. The form does not address many of the information items required in Section L and listed on pages 108 and 109 of the RFP. Further, there are data items in Attachment (4) that are not listed in the RFP (i.e., Subcontracting Plan Commitment). Please clarify.

**ANSWER:**

**Attachment (4) covers the information items required on pages 108 and 109 of the RFP. The Subcontracting Plan Commitment requirements are listed on page 110 of RFP.**

10. QUESTION:

Regarding SOW C.3.10.1: there is no experience-based equivalence provided for the professional engineering positions. Recommend that such an alternative be provided.

**ANSWER:**

**There is no experience-based equivalence.**

**ATTACHMENT 01**  
**N65236-98-R-0400**

11. QUESTION:

The third bulletized paragraph on page 109 of the solicitation requires an outline of how the effort required by the solicitation will be assigned within the contractor's corporate entity and among proposed subcontractors. The other data and Attachment 4, to which the paragraph refers, is all historical in nature, i.e. past performance. This bullet is prospective and does not seem to fit within the context of the rest of the bulletized data on the page. May this requirement be addressed in the introductory material to the company experience portion of the LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENT RATING DATA volume?

**ANSWER:**

**Yes.**

12. QUESTION:

Reference Solicitation Paragraph H-411, Wage Determination Applicable, Service Contract Act and Paragraph I-142, Statement of Equivalent Rates for Federal Hires.

- (a) Request clarification of the applicable Contract Labor Category conformance to the Service Contract Act Wage Determination Register category. The only conformance identified in the solicitation is in paragraph I-142 and the correlation is significantly different from contract historical performance and the approved correlation on the current contract.

**ANSWER:**

**See Item #2 on page 2 of Amendment 0001.**

- (b) For cost estimating purposes, request that the government provide an anticipated percentage of performance between Norfolk, VA and Charleston, SC.

**ANSWER:**

**Charleston – 35 percent**  
**Norfolk – 65 percent**

13. Section C, page 26, paragraph 3.6 PERSONNEL SECURITY REQUIREMENTS states:

- a. It is required that all "key personnel" (labor categories for whom resumes were required to be submitted prior to award) proposed for this requirement SHALL hold or have in process a SECRET or higher security clearance.
- b. As a minimum, the following individuals SHALL have TOP SECRET clearances at the TIME OF SUBMISSION OF THE PROPOSAL:

**ATTACHMENT 01  
N65236-98-R-0400**

QUESTION: Navy policy on security clearances recommends that contractors keep them to an absolute minimum. In this aspect, we continually strive to keep security clearances at an absolute minimum as policy encourages. Request consider changing the requirement from “SHALL have TOP SECRET clearances at TIME OF SUBMISSION OF THE PROPOSAL” to “SHALL HOLD OR HAVE TOP SECRET CLEARANCE IN PROCESS AT TIME OF SUBMISSION OF THE PROPOSAL.”

**ANSWER:**

**See Item #1 on page 2 of Amendment 0001.**

14. Section M, page 117, the last sentence of Section M650.1.1.3(a) reads..”The suitability of labor categories offered, and the number of hours for each category (i.e., the mix of labor relative to the total level of effort required for each task in the SOW) will also be evaluated.”

QUESTION: It is impossible to provide the number of hours required in a labor category to accomplish the SOW tasks, without additional data. To respond to this question, some scoping data regarding the actual SOW task is necessary. For example, the design (SOW task C3.3) of a small addition (such as a new circuit) to a communications station would require significantly few hours and fewer labor categories than the design for the relocation or modernization of a Communications Area Master Station. Please clarify the evaluation process??

**ANSWER:**

**See Item #8 on page 2 of Amendment 0001.**